



Ibmec MG Working Paper – WP29

The citation pattern of Brazilian economists

João Ricardo Faria

Department of Economics and Finance
University of Texas Pan American

Ari Francisco de Araujo Jr.

Centro de Economia Aplicada e Estratégia Empresarial
Ibmec Minas Gerais

Cláudio D. Shikida

Centro de Economia Aplicada e Estratégia Empresarial
Ibmec Minas Gerais

(2005)

The citation pattern of Brazilian economists

João Ricardo Faria

Department of Economics and Finance, University of Texas Pan American

Ari Francisco de Araujo Jr.

Centro de Economia Aplicada e Estratégia Empresarial, Ibmec Minas Gerais

Claudio D. Shikida

Centro de Economia Aplicada e Estratégia Empresarial, Ibmec Minas Gerais

Abstract: This paper studies the determinants of international citations by Brazilian economists in papers published in the top domestic journals in 1994 and 2004. Brazilian research in economics has become more open to international influence and widespread geographically; researchers more specialized and technically oriented and the research topics more diversified. However, Brazil is plagued by editorial favoritism, reflected in the negative and significant impact of references to the works authored by domestic journals editors on international citations. We also find that longer technical papers written on topics other than the economic history of Brazil are more likely to cite foreign literature.

Keywords: Citation analysis; Role of economists; Sociology of economics.

JEL Classification Numbers: A11, A14.

Acknowledgements: Research assistance of Lucas Lamounier is appreciated. We would like to thank, without implicating, P.H. Albuquerque, J.S. Arbache, T. Coupé, M. Léon-Ledesma and A.V. Mollick for valuable comments.

Address for Correspondence: J.R. Faria, Department of Economics and Finance, University of Texas Pan American, 1201 W. University Drive, Edinburg, TX 78539-2999, USA. E-mail: jockafaria@hotmail.com.

The citation pattern of Brazilian economists

1. Introduction

This paper aims at contributing to the growing literature on Brazilian research in economics. This literature has focused on the quantity and quality of publications of Brazilian economists in order to construct productivity and citation rankings of journals, departments and authors¹². Azzoni (1998) pioneered this literature by analyzing the most cited authors and papers in the Brazilian economic journals, providing a list of “classics” of the Brazilian economic literature. Azzoni (2000) extended this work by assessing the performance of Brazilian departments and journals. The performance of departments was measured through the volume of papers published in domestic journals. The impact of Brazilian journals was assessed through citations in other domestic academic journals [see also Azzoni, 2001].

A couple of articles by Faria (2000) and Issler and Pillar (2002) continued this line of research by analyzing publications in international journals to evaluate Brazilian academic economists and departments. These studies show that the research in economics made in Brazil has very low international penetration, suggesting that poor research standards are widespread in the Brazilian academia.

In a recent paper, Issler and Ferreira (2004) evaluate the performance of Brazilian economists through citations in international journals. They reach two conclusions. The

¹²The same trend is verified internationally where rankings of journals, departments and economists mushroomed over the past decade. See, among others, Garcia-Castrillo et al. (2002) and Coupé (2003) for worldwide rankings, for specific countries and regions we have: U.S. [Scott and Mitias, 1996, and Dusansky and Vernon, 1998], Canada [Lucas, 1995], Australia [Pomfret and Wang, 2003], Asia [Jin and Yau, 1999], and Europe [Kalaidizidakis et al., 1999]. The rankings’ methodologies are themselves object of controversies [e.g., Thursby, 2000, and Tombazos, 2005].

first is that the most productive economists are also the most cited. The second conclusion is that there is a marked difference in the citation performance between Brazilian researchers that prefer to publish in international venues relatively to the ones that submit their work to domestic journals.

This paper deals with the same subject as Issler and Ferreira (2004), however, instead of analyzing the citations of Brazilian economists by the international literature, it investigates the way Brazilian economists cite the foreign literature. Specifically, this paper studies the citation practices of Brazilian researchers in articles published in the top Brazilian economic journals³ in two different years, 1994 and 2004. The paper focuses on the determinants of the number of references made to foreign references in general and to papers published in English in international journals in particular.

The reason for paying special attention to papers published in English in international journals lies on the fact that the cutting edge research in economics is published in the top journals of the field and all of them are international journals published in English. As a consequence, it is natural to study the citation pattern of Brazilian economists by analyzing the determinants of the citation of foreign and English references by them.

The years 1994 and 2004 were chosen because in the period between them important structural changes took place affecting the way research in economics is done in Brazil. The main three reasons for this choice are the following. First, there is anecdotal evidence that after the middle of the 1990's there was a sharp increase in the use of the internet in economics research. New sites such as Econpapers, SSRN, WoPEc and others put

² Rocha and Machado (2003), in a different line of research, show that economic performance of universities in Brazil is positively related to academic-pedagogic performance.

working papers on line. New electronic journals, such as the Economics Bulletin, were created. In addition, the main publishers of economic journals, Elsevier and Blackwell included, disposed their journals in electronic form. All these innovations associated with the internet reduced the search costs of economic research, since it increased the speed of finding, copying and reading economic papers⁴. The second important reason is a consequence of the use of the internet as a research tool. The access to electronic resources in economics presumably increased the exposure of international literature to Brazilian researchers.

The third reason for choosing these years is that the number of graduate courses and therefore the number of graduate students in economics in Brazil also increased during the second part of the 1990's and the beginning of this new century. Anpec's membership increased with the affiliation of new centers such as the Universidade Catolica de Brasilia and Universidade Federal de Uberlândia, among others, that opened graduate courses in economics. Besides increasing the number of graduate students, these universities increased the number of professors and researchers necessary to meet the needs and government requirements of their graduate courses.

In order to understand the determinants of the citation practices of Brazilian economists, this paper presents a model in which the representative agent is a citation seeking researcher. The solution of the model shows that citation practices of researchers are influenced by the following variables: number of co-authors; the size of the paper given by the number of pages; the affiliation of the authors; the research topic; the

³ The Brazilian journals are: Estudos Economicos, Pesquisa e Planejamento Economico, Revista Brasileira de Economia, Revista de Economia Política and Revista de Econometria. These journals are ranked A in the CAPES' list of economic journals [see Faria, 2004, for a critical evaluation of the CAPES' list].

methodology adopted by the paper; the number of papers already published by the authors; the authors' impatience; the number of self-citations, and the number of citations of articles authored by the editor of the journal. Some of these variables also appear to be relevant to explain the research productivity of scholars⁵.

The theoretical model is tested econometrically and we find that international references made by Brazilian economists are negatively affected by citations of journal editors' works. The empirical results also suggest that longer technical papers that do not deal with the economic history of Brazil make international citations more frequently.

The paper proceeds as follows. Section 2 presents the model of the representative citation seeking researcher. Data description and econometric tests of the predictions of the theoretical model appear in Section 3. Section 4 concludes.

2. The model

The objective of the representative author is to impact the literature, which is given by the number of citations of his papers in the literature (c). In this sense, the representative agent is a citation seeking researcher⁶. However, the author does not control the number of citations of his work. This is determined by the market of ideas and depends on a variety of forces beyond an author's control.

Among the forces that determine the evolution of the citations of an author by the literature (\dot{c}) are: 1) the reputation of the journal where his work appears (R), other

⁴ For issues related to the impact of the internet on economic research see, among others, Bergstrom and Bergstrom (2004); Goel (2003); Wallis and Dollery (1993).

⁵ This holds true at least empirically. Maske et al. (2003) show that years of experience, coauthorship rates, gender, research-teaching orientation of the respondent's institution, and teaching loads are determinants of the articles produced by male and female economists.

things equal, the greater the journal's reputation the more likely it is to be read and cited in the literature; 2) the number of papers already published (q) by the author, which makes his work more noticeable in the literature; 3) the author's knowledge of the literature, which allows him to identify the most important problems to work on and to tackle them competently, making it easier to impact the literature. This variable is captured by the number of citations of the literature (χ). Last, but not least, 4) the characteristics of his papers play a very important role in the way citations of his work evolves over time, since this encompasses many dimensions of one's particular paper itself. The characteristics of an author's paper are represented by \bar{Q} , which is a vector of variables that may include the number of pages and co-authors, the affiliation of the authors, the topic of the paper, the mathematical and econometric methodologies of the paper, etc.

Therefore, the evolution of the number of an author's citations in the literature is:

$$\dot{c} = g(c, R, q, \chi, \bar{Q})$$

The author's knowledge of the literature is costly. It takes time and effort to read and understand the literature, to identify problems and to make an original and enduring contribution. This cost appears in the author's instantaneous net benefit function U . Of course, the author derives a positive utility from citations of his own work. As a result, the instantaneous net benefit function is postulated to assume the following form:

$U(c, \chi) = \alpha \ln c - \Psi(\chi)$. The term $\Psi(\chi)$ corresponds to quadratic costs: $\Psi(\chi) = \chi^2 / 2$.

⁶ According to Griliches and Einav (1998) an author's citations by the literature is a better measure of academic achievement than the number of pages of papers published in top journals.

The author's problem is to maximize the integral of discounted net benefit subject to the way the literature cites his work:

$$\text{Max}_{\chi} \int_0^{\infty} U(c, \chi) e^{-\rho t} dt$$

$$\text{subject to } \dot{c} = g(c, R, q, \chi, \bar{Q}), c_0 \text{ given}$$

where ρ is the author's rate of time preference [impatience]. Notice that the control variable in this problem is the number of citations of the literature made by the representative author χ .

In order to solve the model we need to make the function g explicit. Without loss of generality and in line with Faria (2005) model, let us assume the function g to be given by: $g(c, R, q, \chi, \bar{Q}) = a\chi + b q R - c + \bar{Q}$.

The Hamiltonian corresponding to the author's problem is:

$$H = \alpha \ln c - \frac{\chi^2}{2} + \lambda [a\chi + b q R - c + \bar{Q}]$$

where λ is the costate variable associated with c . The first order conditions are the following:

$$H_{\chi} = 0 \Rightarrow -\chi + \lambda a = 0 \quad (1)$$

$$\dot{\lambda} - \rho \lambda = -H_c \Rightarrow \dot{\lambda} - \rho \lambda = -\left(\frac{\alpha}{c} - \lambda\right) \quad (2)$$

plus the transversality condition.

In order to find the steady state solution of this model we make $\dot{\lambda} = \dot{c} = 0$ and consider eq. (1) :

$$c = a\chi + b q R + \bar{Q} \quad (3)$$

$$\lambda[1 + \rho] = \frac{\alpha}{c} \quad (4)$$

$$\chi = \lambda a \quad (5)$$

By solving these equations for the endogenous variables c , χ and λ yields the steady state solutions below [denoted by an asterisk]:

$$\chi^* = \frac{\{[bqR + \bar{Q}]^2 + 4a^2\alpha(1 + \rho)\}^{1/2}}{2a} \quad (6)$$

$$\lambda^* = \frac{\chi^*}{a} \quad (7)$$

$$c^* = \frac{\alpha}{\lambda^*(1 + \rho)} \quad (8)$$

The above long run equilibrium has interesting characteristics. First, notice from equations (6)-(8) that there is a trade-off between the optimal levels of c and χ :

$$c^* = \frac{a\alpha}{\chi^*(1 + \rho)} \quad (9)$$

According to equation (9), the citations of an author by the literature (c) decrease with the total number of citations (χ). As a consequence, if the size of the literature increases, it is expected that the number of citations of a given author relative to the literature

decreases: $\frac{d(c^* / \chi^*)}{d\chi^*} = \frac{-2a\alpha}{\chi^{*3}(1 + \rho)} < 0$

Second, one can see that the relationship between the representative author citation practices, χ , and the characteristics of the author's paper, \bar{Q} , is nonlinear:

$$\frac{d\chi^*}{d\bar{Q}} > 0 \Leftrightarrow bqR + \bar{Q} > 1 \quad (10)$$

Third the same property and condition [given by eq. (10)] applies to the marginal impact of the number of papers already published by the author (q) and journal's reputation (R) on χ^* .

Fourth, as expected, author's impatience (ρ) makes him less willing to cite the literature, since citing the literature presumes that the author spends time and effort searching, reading, understanding and thinking about it: $\frac{d\chi^*}{d\rho} < 0$. The impact of parameters a [the marginal impact of overall citations on the evolution of an author's citations] and α [author's tastes] on χ^* are also ambiguous. Concerning c^* , a and α are positively related to c^* , while ρ has a negative impact.

In order to test this model empirically one has to make the vector \bar{Q} - which captures the quality of an author's paper - explicit. Let us consider the following list of characteristics: the number of pages Q_1 , the number of authors Q_2 , authors' affiliation Q_3 , paper's topic Q_4 , paper's methodology Q_5 . Author's tastes (α) can be multidimensional as well, here we consider that author's tastes is a vector $\alpha = [\alpha_1 \alpha_2]$, where α_1 is the number of self-citations and α_2 is the number of citations of articles authored by the editor of the journal. Finally, without loss of generality we assume $a = 1$.

Therefore the steady state solution of the model shows the determinants of the representative author's citation practices (χ) and the citations of his work by the literature (c). The determinants of these variables are the following: the number of co-authors; the number of pages; the affiliation of the authors'; the research topic; the methodology adopted by the paper; the number of papers already published by the authors; the authors'

impatience; the number of self-citations, and the number of citations of articles authored by the editor of the journal.

The next section tests the predictions of this model for the citation practices of an author (χ). The baseline linear empirical equation to be estimated, derived from the model, is the following:

$$\chi = \beta_0 + \beta_1 Q_1 + \beta_2 Q_2 + \beta_3 Q_3 + \beta_4 Q_4 + \beta_5 Q_5 + \beta_6 R + \beta_7 \alpha_1 + \beta_8 \alpha_2 + \beta_9 q + \beta_{10} \rho + \varepsilon$$

where ε is the error term.

According to the model the only β with non-ambiguous expected signal is $\beta_{10} < 0$, all other can either be positive or negative due to the non-linearity of the original function (6).

3. Data description and empirical results

The data are collected from articles published in 1994 and 2004 in the top five Brazilian journals in economics: Estudos Econômicos [EE], Pesquisa e Planejamento Econômico [PPE], Revista Brasileira de Economia [RBE], Revista de Economia Política [REP], and Revista de Econometria [RE].

The collected data for each article is the following: χ = number of foreign references [and the number of English references in Journals]; Q_1 = number of authors; Q_2 = number of pages; Q_3 = authors' affiliation; Q_4 = paper's topic; Q_5 = paper's methodology; q = number of papers already published by the author(s); ρ = author's rate of time preference; α_1 = number of self-citations; α_2 = number of citations of works authored by the editor of the journal.

Concerning the endogenous variable χ , in the theoretical model it stands for the number of citations of the literature made by the representative author, which captures the citation pattern of an author. Here we focus only on international references. This variable is measured in two different ways. The first measure is the number of foreign references which refers to all non-Portuguese references in a given article, which includes books, book chapters, working papers, and unpublished papers in languages other than Portuguese. The second measure for χ is the number of English references in Journals, i.e., the number of citations of papers published in English in international journals.

Concerning the exogenous variables of the model, the variable Q_3 is a dummy variable where 0 corresponds to authors' affiliation outside the cities of Rio de Janeiro and Sao Paulo [RJ-SP] and 1 for authors' [at least one of them] affiliated with institutions located in RJ-SP. This variable captures the role of the main research centers in economics in Brazil which are presumably located in the cities of Rio de Janeiro and Sao Paulo. Regarding the variable Q_4 , it is a dummy variable where 0 corresponds to papers on the economic history of Brazil and 1 for papers dealing with other subjects. The variable Q_5 is a dummy variable where 0 corresponds to papers with descriptive analysis, characterized by the absence of the use of technical tools [such as mathematical models and/or statistical and econometric methods], and 1 for technical papers, i.e., papers that use mathematical models and/or statistical and econometric methods.

The variable q is the total number of articles, published or not, by the first author [if the paper has multiple authors]. The author's rate of time preference ρ is measured by the number of years since the first author of the paper [if the paper has multiple authors] received his Ph.D. degree. It is hypothesized here that older authors [as measured in

terms of the number of years with a Ph.D. degree] have lower rate of time preference in comparison with younger authors. This comes as a result of experience in dealing with the publishing game. Both variables are collected from the Lattes' curriculum of each author.

INSERT TABLES 1 AND 2 HERE

Tables 1 and 2 present the descriptive statistics for the endogenous variable χ . When measured as foreign references the data show for the overall sample of journals that the proportion of foreign references to total references increased from 59% in 1994 to 68% in 2004, with a growth rate of 15%. The only journal that shows a negative trend during this period is PPE. The journal that presents the highest growth rate, 94%, is EE. When the endogenous variable χ is measured as English references, we have for all journals that 23% of the total references were English references in 1994, this proportion increased to 33% in 2004, with a growth rate of 43%. Each one of the journals shows a positive trend, with EE with the highest rate of growth. The raise in the number of international citations is indicative of an increase in the degree of openness of research in economics made in Brazil. In other words, Brazilian economists are becoming more influenced by international literature.

Table 3 presents the descriptive statistics for the remainder exogenous variables. The number of authors (Q_1) increased from 1.29 per article in 1994 to 1.84 in 2004, with a growth rate of 43%. This is in line with the reported international trend that the number of co-authored papers in economics has been on the rise over time [e.g., Sutter and Kocher, 2004]. One of the explanations for this fact lies on the increasing specialization in our field. Regarding the average number of pages (Q_2) per article it also has been in

the rising, in 1994 the average size was 20 pages, it increased to 24 pages in 2004, with a growth rate of 20%.

INSERT TABLE 3 HERE

The number of self-citations (α_1) increased from 1.65 in 1994 to 1.86 in 2004, with a growth rate of 13%. The number of citations of articles authored by the editor of the journal (α_2) has risen from 0.05 in 1994 to 0.125 in 2004, with a growth rate of 150%. The total number of papers by the first author (q) increased from 10.05 in 1994 to 17.6 in 2004, with a growth rate of 75%. The number of years since the first author of the paper [if the paper has multiple authors] received his Ph.D. degree, the variable (p), decreased from 10.1 in 1994 to 8.34 in 2004, with a negative growth rate of 17%.

Taking the topics of the published papers (Q_4) into account, there is a sharp decline in the number of papers published on the economic history of Brazil, more specifically, in 1994 12% of the papers were about the Economic history of Brazil and in 2004 this proportion reduced to 7%, with a negative growth rate of 41%. Regarding the methodology of the papers (Q_5), in 1994 65% of the published papers used mathematical models and/or statistical and econometric methods, this proportion increased to 84% in 2004, with a rate of growth of 28%. These figures suggest that economists in Brazil are becoming more technically oriented and more diversified in terms of topics chosen for investigation.

Another important trend showed by the data is the reduction of the number of papers published by authors affiliated with institutions located in Rio de Janeiro and Sao Paulo. The proportion of papers published in 1994 by authors in RJ-SP is 67% and this proportion reduces to 57% in 2004, with a negative growth rate of 15%. This shows that

the economics research in Brazil is becoming more widespread geographically. One of the reasons for this is the opening of new graduate programs in economics in universities outside RJ-SP⁷.

The baseline linear equation for the citation pattern of international literature by Brazilian economists derived in the theoretical model is the following:

$$\chi = \beta_0 + \beta_1 Q_1 + \beta_2 Q_2 + \beta_3 Q_3 + \beta_4 Q_4 + \beta_5 Q_5 + \beta_6 R + \beta_7 \alpha_1 + \beta_8 \alpha_2 + \beta_9 q + \beta_{10} \rho + \varepsilon$$

Notice, however, that we must remove the variables q and ρ , because of measurement problems in the data source, the Lattes' curriculum. There is a number of problems in this data source, the main problems are the following: i) many authors provide incomplete information; and ii) there are authors absent in the Lattes database. As the reputation of the journal, the variable (R), is the same for all the journals examined - since all of them are considered top journals in Brazil - we also eliminated this variable from the model. In addition, we created a new dummy variable Q_6 defined as follows: $Q_6 = 0$, when $Q_4 + Q_5 = 0$ or 1 ; and $Q_6 = 1$ when $Q_4 + Q_5 = 2$. Thus, Q_6 captures the impact of technical papers that do not deal with the economic history of Brazil on international citations.

Given these modifications the final estimated equation is:

$$\chi = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 Q_1 + \gamma_2 Q_2 + \gamma_3 Q_3 + \gamma_4 \alpha_1 + \gamma_5 \alpha_2 + \gamma_6 Q_6 + \varepsilon$$

The model is computed for all journals and authors. We used three samples: 1994-2004 (unbalanced panel), 1994 and 2004. The results are reported in Tables 4 and 5 below.

INSERT TABLES 4 AND 5 HERE

⁷ For international trends on economic research see Coupé (2004).

The main empirical result of this paper is that both measures of the dependent variable, foreign references and English references in journals, are influenced by the citations of editor's articles (α_2), the number of pages (Q_2) and the dummy variable (Q_6).

The impact of citations of editor's articles (α_2) on χ is negative. One possible explanation for this result lies on an author's strategy to maximize the chances of publishing a paper. If an author uses as strategy to get a paper accepted for publication the citations of the editor's work rather than the relevant international literature, this is surely an indication of editorial favoritism [see Berg and Faria, 2004]. An alternative explanation is that the editors of Brazilian journals are in fact leading economists in the areas most of the Brazilian researchers work on.

The positive impact of (Q_2) on χ is an expected result since the longer the paper the longer the list of references. Concerning the positive impact of the dummy variable (Q_6) on χ , it means that papers written on subjects other than economic history of Brazil that use mathematical models and/or statistical and econometric methods make more international references. This is an expected result as well, since technical papers, when updated, rely upon the cutting edge research published in international journals; in the same vein, great part of the literature on the economic history of Brazil is published in Portuguese.

Therefore, the results show that there is a negative and significant impact on international citations by references to the works authored by domestic journals editors. We also find that longer papers that deal with subjects other than the economic history of Brazil and use technical tools are more likely to make international citations. These

results hold true when we extend the model by considering the number of English citations as a proportion of total number of citations, the alphas as a proportion of the total number of citations and include dummies for each one of the journals [these results are available from the authors upon request].

4. Concluding remarks

This paper studies the determinants of international citations by Brazilian economists in papers published in the top domestic journals in the years 1994 and 2004. The citation pattern of Brazilian economists has changed between these two years in accordance with changes in the way research in economics is made in Brazil.

The main changes identified in this paper are: 1) the increase in the degree of openness of the Brazilian research, i.e., it has become more influenced by international literature; 2) increasing specialization in the profession reflected in the increase of the number of co-authored papers published; 3) the research is becoming more technical and more diversified in terms of topics chosen for investigation; 4) the research is becoming more widespread geographically reducing the relative importance of research centers located in Rio de Janeiro and Sao Paulo.

In spite of these changes, Brazilian research in economics is still plagued by old problems such as editorial favoritism, reflected in the importance of citations of domestic journals editors'. This paper finds that citations of works authored by domestic journals editors have a negative and significant impact on international citations of Brazilian economists. We also find that longer papers that study subjects other than the Economic history of Brazil and use technical tools are more likely to make international citations.

References

Azzoni, C. R. (1998) "Classicos" do Literatura Economica Brasileira: Trabalhos e Autores mais Citados nas Nossas Revistas Academicas, **Economia Aplicada** 2, 771-780.

Azzoni, C. R. (2000) Desempenho das revistas e dos departamentos de economia brasileiros segundo publicacoes e citacoes recebidas no Brasil, **Economia Aplicada** 4,787-822.

Azzoni, C. R. (2001) Onde vender o peixe? Repercussao das principais revistas brasileiras de Economia na virada do seculo, **Economia Aplicada** 5, 885-894.

Berg, N. and J.R. Faria (2004) We would like to thank you for publishing our paper... incidentally we cited yours too, **Political Economy Working Paper 12/04**, School of Social Sciences, University of Texas at Dallas.

Bergstrom, T. C. and C. T. Bergstrom (2004) Will open access compete away monopoly profits in journal publishing?, <http://octavia.zoology.washington.edu>.

Coupé, T. (2003) Revealed performances: Worldwide rankings of economists and economics departments, 1990-2000, **Journal of the European Economic Association** 1, 1309-1345.

Coupé, T. (2004) What do we know about ourselves? On the economics of economics, **Kyklos** 57, 197-215.

Dusansky, R. and C. Vernon (1998) Rankings of US economics departments, **Journal of Economic Perspectives** 12, 1, 157-170.

Faria, J.R. (2000) The research output of academic economists in Brazil, **Economia Aplicada** 4, 95-113.

- Faria, J.R. (2004) Some reflections on incentives for publication: The case of the CAPES' list of economic journals, **Economia Aplicada** 8, 791-816.
- Faria, J.R. (2005) The game academics play: Editors versus authors, **Bulletin of Economic Research** 57, 1-12.
- Garcia-Castrillo, P.; Montanes, A. And F. Sanz-Gracia (2002) A worldwide assessment of scientific production in economics (1992-1997), **Applied Economics** 34, 1453-1475.
- Goel, R. K. (2003) A market mechanism for scientific communication: A comment, **Kyklos** 56, 395-400.
- Griliches, Z. and L. Einav (1998) Flawed rankings: A comment [on Dusansky-Vernon], **Journal of Economic Perspectives** 12, 4, 233-235.
- Issler, J. V. and Pillar, T. (2002) Mensurando a producao cientifica internacional em economia de pesquisadores e departamentos brasileiros, **Pesquisa e Planejamento Economico** 32, 323-381.
- Issler, J. V. and Ferreira, R.C. (2004) Avaliando pesquisadores e departamentos de economia no Brasil a partir de citacoes internacionais, **Pesquisa e Planejamento Economico** 34, 491-538.
- Jin, J. and L.Yau (1999) Research productivity of the economics profession in East Asia, **Economic Inquiry** 37, 706-710.
- Kalaitzidakis, P.; T.P. Mamuneas and T. Stengos (1999) European economics: An analysis based on publications in the core journals, **European Economic Review** 43, 1150-1168.
- Lucas, R. (1995) Contributions to economics journals by the Canadian economics profession, 1981-1990, **Canadian Journal of Economics** 28, 949-960.

- Maske, K. L.; G.C. Durden and P.E. Gaynor (2003) Determinants of scholarly productivity among male and female economists, **Economic Inquiry** 41, 555-564.
- Pomfret, Richard; Wang, Liang Choon (2003) Evaluating the research output of Australian Universities' economics departments, **Australian Economic Papers** 42, 418-441.
- Rocha, C.H. and A.L. Machado (2003) O desempenho universitário acadêmico-pedagógico versus o desempenho financeiro, **Revista de Economia Contemporânea** 7, 161-168.
- Scott, L.C. and P.M. Mitias (1996) Trends in rankings of economics departments in the U.S.: An update, **Economic Inquiry** 34, 378-400.
- Sutter, M. and M. Kocher (2004) Patterns of co-authorship among economics departments in the USA, **Applied Economics** 36, 327-333.
- Thursby, J.G. (2000) What do we say about ourselves and what does it mean ? Yet another look at economics department research, **Journal of Economic Literature** 38, 383-404.
- Tombazos, C. G. (2005) A Revisionist perspective of European research in economics, **European Economic Review** 49, 251-277.
- Wallis, J. L. and B. E. Dollery (1993) The economics of economics: A model of research discourse, **Australian Economic Papers** 32, 175-183.

TABLE 1 – Foreign references Journals/Years (1994/2004)

year/journal	all	RBE	REP	PPE	EE	RE
all	63.49	67.83	60.80	67.29	53.79	78.23
1994	59.15	64.73	56.13	72.71	34.37	77.71
2004	67.90	71.98	66.00	61.14	66.49	78.60
growth rate %	14.80	11.21	17.58	-15.92	93.45	1.15

TABLE 2 – English references Journals/Years (1994/2004)

year/journal	all	RBE	REP	PPE	EE	RE
all	27.58	34.27	19.09	33.49	22.40	44.54
1994	22.69	27.92	18.36	29.06	11.73	34.07
2004	32.56	42.73	19.89	38.52	29.38	51.87
growth rate %	43.51	53.08	8.31	32.54	150.54	52.26

TABLE 3

VARIABLE	1994	2004	GROWTH RATE
Q_1	1.29	1.84	43%
Q_2	20.48	24.48	20%
α_1	1.65	1.86	13%
α_2	0.05	0.125	150%
q	10.05	17.6	75%
ρ	10.1	8.34	-17%
Technical paper	0.65	0.84	28%
Descriptive analysis	0.35	0.16	-53%
Eco. history of Brazil	0.12	0.07	-41%
Other topics	0.88	0.93	22%
RJ-SP affiliation	0.67	0.57	-15%
Other affiliation	0.33	0.43	30%

TABLE 4

Dep. Var. χ (Foreign References)	1994-2004	1994	2004
Constant	9.32 (3.98)	7.87 (2.49)	14.3 (3.71)
# self-citations (α_1)	0.86 (2.34)	0.61 (0.85)	0.89 (1.87)
# of citations of editor's articles (α_2)	-4.18 (-3.59)	-8.62 (-4.10)	-3.32 (-3.42)
# of authors (Q1)	-1.54 (-1.29)	-0.51 (-0.19)	-2.66 (-2.00)
# of pages (Q2)	0.23 (2.42)	0.22 (1.61)	0.27 (2.27)
Author's affiliation (Q3)	-2.59 (-1.52)	-3.61 (-1.31)	-0.90 (-0.40)
Dummy (Q6)	4.57 (2.63)	5.36 (2.43)	0.05 (0.01)
N	225	114	111
R2 adj	0.11	0.10	0.08
F	5.63	3.16	2.69
P-Value	0.00	0.00	0.01

Notes: t-statistics into parenthesis.

TABLE 5

Dep. Var. χ (English References in Journals)	1994-2004	1994	2004
Constant	2.11 (1.68)	3.00 (1.96)	2.91 (1.32)
# self-citations (α_1)	-0.02 (-0.11)	-0.06 (-0.15)	0.01 (0.07)
# of citations of editor's articles (α_2)	-2.73 (-4.83)	-3.68 (-5.44)	-2.57 (-4.61)
# of authors (Q1)	-0.29 (-0.44)	-0.32 (-0.21)	-1.08 (-1.32)
# of pages (Q2)	0.13 (2.42)	0.06 (1.06)	0.22 (3.08)
Author's affiliation (Q3)	-0.72 (-0.77)	-1.14 (-0.77)	0.26 (0.20)
Dummy (Q6)	3.84 (4.69)	3.70 (3.34)	2.24 (1.67)
N	225	114	111
R2 adj	0.12	0.11	0.12
F	6.19	2.37	3.61
P-Value	0.00	0.03	0.00

Notes: t-statistics into parenthesis.